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Introduction

- Enginuity Worldwide, LLC headquarters located in Mexico, Missouri
The Enginuity Process

- Consists of a Rotary Compression Unit (RCU), a Reflux Condenser, and an Aftercooler
- No external heat source is required
- Continuous process may be carried to char in under 5 minutes
- Capable of processing sources of wood, nut shells, grass, stovers, AD, and animal wastes.

Figure 1: Before treatment (L) and After (R): Corn Stover biochar
Process Flow
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Rotary Compression Unit

Figure 2/3: The 6” RCU with Reflux Condenser and Aftercooler
The 12” Rotary Compression Unit

Figure 4: the 12” Rotary Compression Unit prototype
Analysis of Biochar

- Testing Includes:
  - Proximate analysis
  - Elemental analysis
  - Porosity analysis
  - Water capacity
  - Germination Assay
  - Growth Studies

Figures 5/6: Germination testing of EWW biochar with lettuce seeds. Group of 25.
Growth Studies

- Series of experiments analyzing:
  - Aged vs. fresh
  - 1% vs. 5% addition
  - Comparing EWW’s biochar to another commercial biochar
  - Comparing EWW biochar to potting soil

Figure 7: Previous growth study. A1 group was control with potting soil only; D1 was 10% biochar to soil
Growth Studies

- Pea plants used in growth study
- Potting soil used as a negative control
- Biochar was hardwood and was analyzed at 0 week aging (charging), 1 and 2 week aging with cow manure compost

- Monitored:
  - Moisture
  - pH
  - Temperature
  - Growth Rate (including germination)
  - Plant Height
Growth Studies

- 1% and 5% by volume was analyzed
- Biochar top dressed on soil and then tilling was mimicked
- This procedure repeated for the aged char samples

Figure 8: 5% EWW biochar sample (Mid) and potting soil (L) and 1% EWW biochar
Results

- EWW biochar maintained a **steadiest pH and moisture content** over the length of the study.

- 5% EWW biochar produced the **greatest growth rate and plant height** in the 0, 1, and 2 week trials.

- The EWW biochar produced **more robust plants** in regards to breakage.
  - At 24 days of experiment, plants in potting soil group, manure group, and char control group began breaking.
  - By days 36-50, almost all of them were broken, while EWW remained intact.

- EWW biochar aged for two weeks in manure compost **improved germination rate of seeds by one day**.
- pH fluctuations can cause growth issues as well as brown spotting.
- Low pH leads to H+ toxicity which releases manganese and aluminum at toxic levels.
- High pH leads to molybdenum toxicity and stunted growth.

*Figure 9: Soil pH of the unaged char group*
Results

Growth Comparison of One week Aged Biochar, Potting Soil, and Manure Over 36 Days

Figure 10: Growth of the 1 week aged biochar over 36 days
Results

Growth Comparison of Two Week Aged Biochar, Manure and Potting Soil Over 41 Days

Figure 11: Growth of the 2 week aged biochar over 41 days with positive and negative controls
Characteristics of EWW Biochar

Figure 12: SEM image of unprocessed oak at 4149X magnification

Figure 13: SEM image of BioCoal oak at 4674X magnification
### Characteristics of EWW Biochar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Corn Stover Biochar</th>
<th>Hardwood Biochar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carbon (wt.%)</strong></td>
<td>54.13</td>
<td>56.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hydrogen (wt.%)</strong></td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>5.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nitrogen (wt.%)</strong></td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oxygen (wt.%)</strong></td>
<td>27.89</td>
<td>36.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volatile Matter (wt.%)</strong></td>
<td>53.91</td>
<td>69.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ash (wt.%)</strong></td>
<td>12.16</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>H/C</strong></td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C/N</strong></td>
<td>57.94</td>
<td>330.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>O/C</strong></td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pH</strong></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water Holding Capacity (% of char's weight)</strong></td>
<td>308%</td>
<td>344%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Germination Success (% germination of 25 seeds)</strong></td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Data with third party validation of two biochars
Explosive decompression disrupts fibrous structure of lignocellulosic material (steam explosion)

Results in highly porous material

Porosity tested via Mercury intrusion porosimetry, a variation of the BET method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Median Pore Diameter (um)</th>
<th>Difference (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Untreated Corn Stover, 1/4&quot; minus</td>
<td>24.2181</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stover treated at 200F, 1/4&quot; minus</td>
<td>111.9039</td>
<td>362.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Porosity analysis of processed corn stover using Mercury Porosimetry
Figure 14: Pyroligneous Acid/BioOil

Figure 15: BioCoal Briquettes

Figure 16: Untreated wood (L) and BioChar (R)
The Enginuity Process

- No external heat source is required
- Continuous process may be carried to char in under 5 minutes
- Capable of processing multiple biomass streams

Figure 17: EWW biochar (L) and Control (R). Using Creeping Bentgrass. Photo courtesy of Dr. Vaughn of the USDA-ARS lab in Illinois.
Feedstocks Processed

- Corn stover
- Grasses
- Miscanthus
- Oak
- Pine
- Mesquite
- Pallet Lumber
- Juniper
- Poultry Litter
- Manure
- Exotics
- Pecan shells
- Fescue
- Anaerobic Digestate Material
- Paper and Pulp Waste

Figure 18: Anaerobic Digestate after processing
Figure 19: Cone flowers with biochar. Relocated at least once

Figure 20: Cone flowers without biochar. No relocation.
Introduction to frictional pyrolysis (FP) – An alternative method for converting biomass to solid carbonaceous products
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HIGHLIGHTS
- Introduction of a novel method of pyrolysis via friction and pressure.
- The process time is less than 200s.
- The final solid yield is higher than 80% and has 98% of the initial energy content.
- The net energy balance is 92.2% on HHV basis.
- The product has increased fixed carbon content in comparison to torrefied biomass.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

ABSTRACT

In the biomass sector, technologies like carbonization and torrefaction have been utilized for the production of solid carbonaceous biofuels and materials. In the framework of this manuscript, a novel method for production of solid carbonaceous materials is introduced and is defined from now on as frictional pyrolysis. It uses only the application of pressure and friction whereas no external heat transfer is needed for the propagation of the process. This novel method is compared with torrefaction in order to assess its potential to process corn stover which has strongly bound water and high content of cellulose xylan. Mass balances have been implemented for both technologies. Characterization of the products has been done by means of Simultaneous Thermal Analysis and Elemental analysis. Frictionally pyrolyzed corn stover has higher recovered mass yield, higher recovered energy yield and fixed carbon content than torrefied corn stover. Although external energy is provided by means of an internal combustion engine the net energy content of the final solid yield contained 92.2% of the input energy. The differential scanning calorimetry analysis showed that under the same heating rate regime and in oxygen-rich environment, the frictionally pyrolyzed corn stover had more exothermic decomposition than the torrefied material.