

Evaluating the Adsorption Dynamics of Emerging Contaminants in Aqueous Solution onto Biochar Derived from Different Feedstocks

Biochar & Bioenergy 2019 Conference

Corolado State University June 30 – July 3, 2019

Emmanuel Johnson





Emerging Contaminants Overview

- Over 700 ECs are listed EU aquatic environment
- Pharmaceuticals and pesticides are prominent classes



Contamination of Emerging Contaminants in Indian Aquatic Sources: First Overview of the Situation

Khalid Muzamil Gani, S.M.ASCE¹; and Absar Ahmad Kazmi, M.ASCE²

Abstract: This paper provides a first review of the contamination level of emerging contaminants (ECs) in aquatic sources of India. Contaminants reported so far belong to pesticides, pharmaceuticals, personal care products (PCPs), surfactants, and phthalates. A total of 41 publications are reported with a maximum share of pesticides (57%) followed by pharmaceuticals (17%), surfactants (15%), PCPs (7%), and phthalates (5%). The concentration of detected contaminants in all aquatic sources range as not detected (ND) to 10,000 ng/L



www.thermofisher.com/uk/en/home/industrial/environmental/environmental -learning-center/contaminant-analysis-information/emerging-contaminants-analysis.html

- Encompasses a wide range of point and nonpoint sources
- Harmful to both aquatic and terrestrial life



Biochar diversity

- Emerging as a promising low-cost economical substitute to the activated carbon
- Widely available feedstocks and pyrolysis methods
- Varying sorption results limit predictability among biochars materials
- Limited understanding of the mechanisms driving biochar-contaminants interactions



Goal of this study

- Evaluate sorption performance of locally produced biochar from selected feedstocks in the removal of emerging contaminants from wastewater.
- Hypothesis: The selected feedstock biochar can significantly removed contaminants in wastewater.



Material - Biochar production



Biomass

- Coconut Shell
- Corn Cob
- Coconut Husk
- Rice Straw

Images source: Thunchanok Thongsamer, KMUTT

Material - Contaminants



Method - Batch adsorption study

Research Objective

• To investigate the adsorption dynamics of selected micropollutants onto biochars derived from selected feedstocks.

• To publish potential adsorption mechanisms.



Method - Batch adsorption study

✓ Dosage of adsorbents was each set at $5g\cdot L^{-1}$

✓Initial sorbate concentrations in matrix was each set at 10mg·L⁻¹

✓ Solution was sterile with an initial pH of 6.0 $_{(avg)}$

- ✓ Solution was agitated on laboratory shaker at 170 rpm for 10 days at 25 °C
- ✓ Aliquots were removed at preset time intervals through filtration with PVDF Filters.

✓ Sorbate concentrations was measured by HPLC.

✓ All experiments were carried out in triplicate and blanks were performed.



Results - Contaminants sorption

Removal efficiency of the pollutant mixture on selected biochar

Kinetic fitting curve of ACM adsorption on thre adsorbents



Results - Adsorption Kinetics and Mechanism

Model plots for **ACM** adsorption on the tested adsorbents CSBC, CCBC, CHBC and RSBC



Results - Adsorption Kinetics and Mechanism

 Table 2. Comparison of model parameters

		Model	Parameter –	Adsorbent			
				CSBC	CCBC	CHBC	RSBC
•	Although $\mathbb{R}^2 > 0.9$, predicted a significantly higher values of q_e than the experimental values, which indicates the inapplicability	e Pseudo First-Order Model	K_{1} (min ⁻¹)	0.0102	0.0098	0.0098	0.0134
			q_e (exp) (mg.g ⁻¹)	0.9200	1.2000	2.7400	2.1600
			q_{e} (cal) (mg.g ⁻¹)	10.9600	10.6000	12.2000	8.6200
			R ² _{adi}	0.9973	0.9946	0.9351	0.9646
•	Adsorption process agrees	Pseudo Second-Order Model Intra-particle Diffusion Model	K_2 (g/mg. min)	0.1328	0.1254	0.0326	0.0165
	with Pseudo second-order.		q_e (exp) (mg.g ⁻¹)	0.9200	1.2000	2.7400	2.1600
			q_{e} (cal) (mg.g ⁻¹)	0.9405	1.1927	2.7092	2.2584
•	Cood correlation coofficients -		R ² _{adi}	0.9744	0.9726	0.9829	0.9780
Ū	Good correlation coefficients		K_d (mg/g.min ^{0.5})	0.5413	0.0646	0.1743	0.1526
	Ior CHBC and RSBC but fail		I (mg/g)	13.9610	0.3736	0.3818	0.0376
	to pass through the origin.		R ²	0.1834	0.7719	0.9518	0.9712
•	Suggested that the rate-	Boyd Kinetic Model	В	0.0081	0.0002	0.0006	0.0005
	determining step is the		$D_i (x10^{-11} \text{ m}^2/\text{s})$	126.3208	3.1190	9.3571	7.7976
	external mass transfer		R ²	0.1418	0.6351	0.8231	0.8515
•	The good fitting indicates that	at Elovich Model	β (g. mg ⁻¹)	/	7.2046	2.6638	3.0386
	pore diffusion plays a vital role		α (mg. g ⁻¹ min ⁻¹)	/	2.5718	1.2962	0.4586
	in controlling the rate of		R ²	/	0.7338	0.9087	0.9301
	reaction.						

Method – Small scale column study

Objective

• The objective of the small scale column test was to determine the suitability of CHBC to be used as an alternative medium for the removal of microcontaminants in pond water.

• To determine breakthrough and compare the results with field experiments carried out in Thailand.



Method – Small scale column study

- Columns size: 1.8cm diameter and 20cm bed length.
- 42.3g CHBC-sand mix (10% w/w) media and 77.7 g of fine sand (control media).
- Solution was pond water spiked with contaminants mix each at 100µg·L⁻¹ at 25 °C.
- Experiment run: 12 hours continuous and 40 days intermittent samplings
- Effluent samples were taken at preset time intervals through filtration with PVDF membrane Filters and measured by HPLC.
- All experiments were carried out in triplicate and blanks were performed.
- Column deconstruction: microbial analysis.



Results – Small scale column study

Plot of effluent to influent ACM concentration ratio vs. time



Breakthrough curve for adsorption for column experiment could not be established due to influence rapid biodegradation.

Conclusion

- The study demonstrated CHBC and RSBC are effective adsorbent for ACM removal.
- Over 75% of ACM removal was attained by RSBC.
- Adsorption of sorbate was also found to be dependent on contact time and sorbate type.
- Removal efficiency could increase with increased sorbate dosage and modification of biochar.
- No change in concentration of the control column suggests biochar is bio-active.
- This information can be used to properly select biochars for intended purposes and environments

Work in Progress

Lysimeter Leachate Analysis

• The objective of this research is to determine if biochar application on soil affects ground water quality.





Microbiology Study

• The objective is to determine the presence of biodegradation vis-à-vis adsorption in the small scale column experiment.

Acknowledgement

Dr David Werner

Dr Wojciech Mrozik

Dr Kishor Acharya

King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi (KMUTT) Research Team



Material - Biochar characterisation

Bulk Max. Temp lodine pН Elemental analysis (wt %) **Biomass** in Chamber % Yield density Number **BET surf. Area** (DI water) (mg/g) (°C) (g/cm3)С Η Ν S 0 (m^2/g) CCBC 0.698 32.31 480 32.07 8.97 60.36 3.03 1.81 0.12 34.68 CHBC 378 33.65 0.661 68.36 9.75 68.48 3.53 0.06 0.15 27.78 11.00 CSBC 704 23.82 1.143 13.17 9.02 68.63 3.69 0.25 0.02 27.41 303 10.00 RSBC 1.692 3.06 8.94 14.60

Table 1. Proximate & Ultimate Analysis ^a

^a determined by KMUTT



Corncob x150



Coconut husk x150





Coconut shell x150